

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/756,892	01/14/2004	Brad S. Culbert	TRIAGE.012A	3624		
20995	7590 05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	IARTENS OLSON & BE	REIP, DAVID OWEN				
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
IRVINE, CA 92614			3731			
		DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/756,89		CULBERT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David O. F	Reip	3731				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve b. a reply within the statu riod will apply and will atute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. The mailing date of this communica () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 February 200)5 ·	•				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	·=							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
٠,٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,6-8,10,11,16-20,23,26,27,29,30 and 35-56</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>2 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
8)								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have beer	n received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			d in this National Stage				
* *	application from the International Bu	•	• • •	د				
^ ``	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certif	ied copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/04, 5/10/04</u> .	3/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, the species of fixation pin represented in Fig. 10 (Species 3), with an annular structure, in combination with the species of plate represented in Fig 6 (Species B), in the reply filed on 2/28/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 3, 6-8, 10, 11, 16-20, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, and 35-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species of invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 4, the phrase "a first retention structure the elongated bodies" is clearly missing a word between "structure" and "the." The word "on" has been assumed for examination purposes.

In claim 15, line 1, the claim appears dependent upon itself. For examination purposes, claim 15 has been assumed to properly depend from claim 13.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Judet et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,591,168. Figs. 1 and 3 of Judet et al show a femoral neck fracture system having all the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: a plurality of elongated bodies 3, each having a distal end with helical anchor 3b, a proximal end 3c with a "first retention structure" (threaded hole 3c) and an "annular structure" (hexagonal bore 3d); a plate 1g with a plurality of openings (1e, 1f); and a side plate 1k comprising a plurality of openings 1b for receiving femoral shaft screws.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judet et al. It is noted that Judet et al is silent with respect to specific dimensional spacing of the openings in the side plate. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the side plate to have any convenient and useful hole spacing for use on a variety of sizes of femurs.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, and 31-34 are allowed.

Claims 2 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David O. Reip whose telephone number is 571-272-4702. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 A.M.- 4 P.M. Mon-Thu and every other Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David O. Reip

Primary Examiner

AU 3731